

Madison Elem School
CUSD 200
Wheaton, ILLINOIS



ILLINOIS
SCHOOL
REPORT
CARD

GRADES : K 1 2 3 4 5

State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

The 2007/2008 school year is the first time that Limited English Proficient (LEP) students, who would have taken the IMAGE in the past, took either the ISAT or PSAE with accommodations; therefore, any comparisons with previous years' achievement levels for this subgroup and their schools and school districts should be made with appropriate caution.

STUDENTS

RACIAL/ETHNIC BACKGROUND AND OTHER INFORMATION

	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic	Low- Income Rate	Limited- English- Proficient Rate	High Sch. Dropout Rate	Chronic Truancy Rate	Mobility Rate	Attendance Rate	Total Enrollment
School	73.4	13.4	3.4	4.1	0.0	5.6	19.3	12.0		0.0	7.9	96.3	410
District	76.3	6.8	10.0	4.2	0.1	2.5	18.3	7.0		0.7	11.2	95.1	13,421
State	54.0	19.2	19.9	3.9	0.2	2.7	41.1	7.5		2.5	14.9	93.3	2,074,167

Low-income students come from families receiving public aid; live in institutions for neglected or delinquent children; are supported in foster homes with public funds; or are eligible to receive free or reduced-price lunches.

Limited-English-proficient students are those students eligible for transitional bilingual programs.

Mobility rate is based on the number of times students enroll in or leave a school during the school year.

Chronic truants are students who are absent from school without valid cause for 18 or more of the last 180 school days.

INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING

PARENTAL CONTACT*

	Percent
School	100.0
District	98.5
State	96.8

STUDENT-TO-STAFF RATIOS

	Pupil- Teacher Elementary	Pupil- Teacher Secondary	Pupil- Certified Staff	Pupil- Administrator
School	--	--	--	--
District	17.5	19.3	12.4	251.6
State	18.3	18.0	13.5	211.6

* Parental contact includes parent-teacher conferences, parental visits to school, school visits to home, telephone conversations, and written correspondence.

AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (as of the first school day in May)

Grades	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 - 12
School	19.0	20.3	25.3	23.3	20.7	20.0				
District	19.0	21.4	21.4	21.9	22.6	23.7				
State	20.5	21.0	21.1	21.7	22.3	22.7				

TIME DEVOTED TO TEACHING CORE SUBJECTS (Minutes Per Day)

Grades	Mathematics			Science			English/Language Arts			Social Science		
	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8
School	53			27			145			28		
District	53			27			145			28		
State	58			30			145			30		


TEACHER INFORMATION (Full-Time Equivalents)								
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Male	Female	Total Number
District	96.3	0.5	2.0	1.1	0.1	23.7	76.3	887
State	84.9	8.7	4.9	1.3	0.2	22.9	77.1	131,488

TEACHER INFORMATION (Continued)					
	Average Teaching Experience (Years)	% of Teachers with Bachelor's Degrees	% of Teachers with Master's & Above	% of Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials	% of Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
School	--	--	--	0.0	0.0
District	12.7	24.0	75.9	0.1	0.0
State	12.4	46.7	53.2	0.7	0.7

Some teacher/administrator data are not collected at the school level.


SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES

TEACHER/ADMINISTRATOR SALARIES (Full-Time Equivalents)



Salaries and counts of staff are summed across a district based on the percentage of time that each individual is employed as a teacher or an administrator and may or may not reflect the actual paid salaries for the district.

EXPENDITURE BY FUNCTION 2006-07 (Percentages)



REVENUE BY SOURCE 2006-07			
	District	District %	State %
Local Property Taxes	\$110,088,604	75.1	57.6
Other Local Funding	\$9,062,130	6.2	7.3
General State Aid	\$9,068,319	6.2	18.1
Other State Funding	\$12,340,992	8.4	9.7
Federal Funding	\$5,955,083	4.1	7.3
TOTAL	\$146,515,128		

EXPENDITURE BY FUND 2006-07			
	District	District %	State %
Education	\$114,224,594	75.2	72.6
Operations & Maintenance	\$12,094,184	8.0	8.5
Transportation	\$7,419,516	4.9	3.9
Bond and Interest	\$12,945,165	8.5	6.7
Rent	\$0	0.0	0.0
Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	\$3,189,665	2.1	1.8
Fire Prevention & Safety	\$0	0.0	0.9
Site & Construction/ Capital Improvement	\$1,950,579	1.3	5.6
TOTAL	\$151,823,703		

OTHER FINANCIAL INDICATORS				
	2005 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2005 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2006-07 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2006-07 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$209,920	4.14	\$6,445	\$10,746
State	**	**	\$5,808	\$9,907

** Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.

Equalized assessed valuation includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.

Total school tax rate is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.

Instructional expenditure per pupil includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.


Operating expenditure per pupil includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.

ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE


OVERALL STUDENT PERFORMANCE

These charts present the overall percentages of state test scores categorized as meeting or exceeding the Illinois Learning Standards for your school, district, and the state. They represent your school's performance in reading, mathematics, and science.

The 2007/2008 school year is the first time that Limited English Proficient (LEP) students, who would have taken the IMAGE in the past, took either the ISAT or PSAE with accommodations; therefore, any comparisons with previous years' achievement levels for this subgroup and their schools and school districts should be made with appropriate caution.



OVERALL ILLINOIS STANDARDS ACHIEVEMENT TEST (ISAT) PERFORMANCE




ISAT PERFORMANCE

These charts provide information on attainment of the Illinois Learning Standards. They show the percents of student scores meeting or exceeding Standards for the grades and subjects tested on ISAT.


ISAT

Grade 3




ISAT

Grade 4



ISAT

Grade 5



PERFORMANCE ON STATE ASSESSMENTS

Federal law requires that student achievement results for reading, mathematics, and science for schools providing Title I services be reported to the general public.

The Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT) is administered to students in grades 3 through 8. The Prairie State Achievement Examination (PSAE) is administered to students in grade 11. The Illinois Alternate Assessment (IAA) is administered to students with disabilities whose Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) indicate that participation in the ISAT or PSAE would not be appropriate.

Students with disabilities have an IEP (No Child Left Behind Act). An IEP is a written plan for a child with a disability who is eligible to receive special education services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

Reading and Mathematics are tested in grades 3 through 8 and 11. Science is tested in grades 4, 7, and 11.

In order to protect students' identities, test data for groups of fewer than ten students are not reported.

PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR READING AND MATHEMATICS														
		Gender			Racial/Ethnic Background						LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic				
School	*Enrollment	195	97	98	142	25	7	9		12	23		33	39
	Reading	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				0.00	0.0		0.0	0.0
	Mathematics	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				0.00	0.0		0.0	0.0
District	*Enrollment	7,137	3,627	3,510	5,471	473	690	320	2	181	438		1,079	1,372
	Reading	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.4	0.2
	Mathematics	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.4	0.2
State	*Enrollment	1,080,912	552,428	528,334	584,551	209,802	211,723	42,677	1,747	28,936	71,592	349	153,444	459,352
	Reading	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.6	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.3
	Mathematics	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.6	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.3

* Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3 - 8 and 11.

PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR SCIENCE ONLY														
		Gender			Racial/Ethnic Background						LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic				
School	*Enrollment	64	25	39	42	10	4	4		4	9		13	17
	Science	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							0.0	0.0
District	*Enrollment	3,093	1,568	1,525	2,408	208	279	132	1	65	155		447	579
	Science	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.7	0.5
State	*Enrollment	453,766	230,339	223,387	253,443	84,573	85,001	18,167	775	11,107	24,940	141	63,312	180,194
	Science	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.2	1.3	0.6	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.7	1.1	0.7

* Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 4, 7, and 11.

ILLINOIS STANDARDS ACHIEVEMENT TEST (ISAT)

The following tables show the percentages of student scores in each of four performance levels. These levels were established with the help of Illinois educators who teach the grade levels and learning areas tested. Due to rounding, the sum of the percentages in the four performance levels may not always equal 100.

- Level 1 -- Academic Warning - Student work demonstrates limited knowledge and skills in the subject. Because of major gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills ineffectively.
- Level 2 -- Below Standards - Student work demonstrates basic knowledge and skills in the subject. However, because of gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills in limited ways.
- Level 3 -- Meets Standards - Student work demonstrates proficient knowledge and skills in the subject. Students effectively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems.
- Level 4 -- Exceeds Standards - Student work demonstrates advanced knowledge and skills in the subject. Students creatively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems and evaluate the results.

Grade 3

Grade 3 - All

Levels	Reading				Mathematics			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
School	1.5	9.0	41.8	47.8	0.0	3.0	22.4	74.6
District	1.7	11.8	40.1	46.4	0.5	4.0	27.2	68.3
State	6.8	21.5	47.6	24.2	3.5	11.4	44.1	41.0

Grade 3 - Gender

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Male	School	0.0	11.4	34.3	54.3	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7
	District	1.7	13.0	42.3	43.0	0.6	3.6	25.8	70.0
	State	8.5	23.8	46.4	21.3	4.0	11.2	42.3	42.5
Female	School	3.1	6.3	50.0	40.6	0.0	6.3	31.3	62.5
	District	1.8	10.6	37.7	50.0	0.4	4.4	28.6	66.5
	State	4.9	19.1	48.8	27.2	2.9	11.6	45.9	39.5

Grade 3 - Racial/Ethnic Background

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
White	School	0.0	6.1	34.7	59.2	0.0	2.0	12.2	85.7
	District	1.0	6.1	38.2	54.6	0.3	2.2	19.7	77.8
	State	3.1	13.4	49.6	33.9	1.0	5.4	39.1	54.5
Black	School								
	District	5.7	24.5	54.7	15.1	3.8	13.2	52.8	30.2
	State	12.6	32.2	44.4	10.9	9.4	22.3	49.4	18.9
Hispanic	School								
	District	5.8	37.5	40.4	16.3	1.0	10.6	56.7	31.7
	State	11.4	33.5	45.4	9.8	4.6	17.4	53.5	24.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	School								
	District	0.0	20.5	38.6	40.9	0.0	6.8	20.5	72.7
	State	2.0	10.8	46.8	40.4	0.6	3.5	28.9	67.0
Native American	School								
	District								
	State	5.5	18.9	54.2	21.4	1.7	6.3	43.9	48.1
Multiracial/Ethnic	School								
	District	0.0	13.0	52.2	34.8	0.0	2.2	45.7	52.2
	State	5.2	19.4	49.9	25.5	2.1	10.2	47.2	40.5

Grade 3 - Economically Disadvantaged

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Free/Reduced Price Lunch	School	10.0	40.0	30.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	50.0	30.0
	District	6.6	34.2	44.9	14.3	2.1	13.3	53.3	31.3
	State	11.4	31.8	46.1	10.7	6.2	18.6	51.3	23.9
Not Eligible	School	0.0	3.5	43.9	52.6	0.0	0.0	17.5	82.5
	District	0.4	5.9	38.8	55.0	0.1	1.5	20.2	78.1
	State	2.7	12.4	48.9	36.0	1.0	5.0	37.7	56.3

Grade 4**Grade 4 - All**

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
School	0.0	18.6	37.3	44.1	0.0	3.4	54.2	42.4	0.0	6.9	62.1	31.0
District	0.1	11.5	39.0	49.4	0.0	4.3	44.7	51.0	0.3	7.3	54.1	38.3
State	1.8	25.0	46.6	26.6	0.9	14.5	58.3	26.3	3.5	20.3	59.1	17.1

Grade 4 - Gender

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Male	School	0.0	17.4	56.5	26.1	0.0	0.0	60.9	39.1	0.0	4.5	63.6	31.8
	District	0.0	12.8	40.3	46.9	0.0	4.8	41.7	53.5	0.5	6.9	50.5	42.2
	State	2.4	27.9	45.6	24.0	1.0	15.0	56.3	27.7	3.9	20.0	57.1	19.0
Female	School	0.0	19.4	25.0	55.6	0.0	5.6	50.0	44.4	0.0	8.3	61.1	30.6
	District	0.2	10.4	37.7	51.7	0.0	3.9	47.5	48.6	0.2	7.6	57.6	34.5
	State	1.2	21.9	47.7	29.3	0.7	14.0	60.4	24.9	3.1	20.6	61.2	15.1

Grade 4 - Racial/Ethnic Background

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
White	School	0.0	7.3	36.6	56.1	0.0	0.0	48.8	51.2	0.0	0.0	61.0	39.0
	District	0.1	6.5	35.5	57.9	0.0	2.2	40.0	57.8	0.1	3.1	51.1	45.6
	State	0.8	15.4	47.2	36.6	0.3	7.2	56.0	36.5	0.9	9.7	63.8	25.5
Black	School	0.0	31.6	59.6	8.8	0.0	17.5	68.4	14.0	1.8	26.3	68.4	3.5
	District	3.5	40.4	45.2	10.9	2.4	28.6	60.3	8.7	8.4	38.7	49.2	3.7
	State												
Hispanic	School	0.0	33.7	52.8	13.5	0.0	9.0	67.4	23.6	0.0	23.9	69.3	6.8
	District	3.0	37.7	46.9	12.5	1.1	22.1	64.2	12.6	6.0	32.3	55.8	5.9
	State												
Asian/Pacific Islander	School												
	District	0.0	7.1	42.9	50.0	0.0	2.3	44.2	53.5	2.4	4.8	61.9	31.0
	State	0.5	11.9	43.5	44.1	0.4	4.5	44.9	50.2	1.6	10.3	59.3	28.8
Native American	School												
	District												
	State	2.6	23.8	49.4	24.2	0.9	14.8	62.4	21.8	3.0	19.2	62.4	15.4
Multiracial/Ethnic	School												
	District	0.0	24.2	33.3	42.4	0.0	14.7	38.2	47.1	0.0	18.2	39.4	42.4
	State	1.8	22.5	47.7	28.0	0.7	12.8	61.5	25.1	2.2	17.9	62.4	17.5

Grade 4 - Economically Disadvantaged

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Free/Reduced Price Lunch	School	0.0	53.8	38.5	7.7	0.0	15.4	76.9	7.7	0.0	23.1	69.2	7.7
	District	0.6	33.9	52.4	13.1	0.0	17.1	67.6	15.3	1.8	26.8	63.1	8.3
	State	3.2	38.1	46.6	12.1	1.6	23.8	62.8	11.8	6.4	33.0	54.6	6.0
Not Eligible	School	0.0	8.7	37.0	54.3	0.0	0.0	47.8	52.2	0.0	2.2	60.0	37.8
	District	0.0	6.4	35.9	57.7	0.0	1.4	39.4	59.3	0.0	2.8	52.1	45.2
	State	0.7	14.2	46.7	38.5	0.3	6.8	54.6	38.3	1.1	9.9	62.8	26.2

Grade 5**Grade 5 - All**

Levels	Reading				Mathematics			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
School	0.0	8.3	23.3	68.3	0.0	5.0	48.3	46.7
District	0.0	10.4	39.9	49.7	0.1	5.4	55.8	38.7
State	0.5	25.9	46.3	27.3	0.5	18.1	64.2	17.1

Grade 5 - Gender

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Male	School	0.0	5.9	32.4	61.8	0.0	5.9	44.1	50.0
	District	0.0	13.9	39.1	47.0	0.2	5.5	52.3	42.0
	State	0.7	29.2	45.7	24.4	0.7	19.0	62.4	17.9
Female	School	0.0	11.5	11.5	76.9	0.0	3.8	53.8	42.3
	District	0.0	6.9	40.7	52.4	0.0	5.2	59.3	35.4
	State	0.3	22.5	46.9	30.2	0.4	17.2	66.1	16.3

Grade 5 - Racial/Ethnic Background

Levels		Reading				Mathematics			
		1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
White	School	0.0	0.0	22.9	77.1	0.0	2.1	47.9	50.0
	District	0.0	5.9	37.7	56.4	0.0	2.7	52.8	44.6
	State	0.2	15.1	47.5	37.3	0.2	10.0	66.5	23.3
Black	School								
	District	0.0	38.7	51.6	9.7	0.0	24.2	67.7	8.1
	State	1.0	43.2	43.9	11.9	1.4	35.5	57.8	5.3
Hispanic	School								
	District	0.0	25.3	50.5	24.2	0.0	11.1	77.8	11.1
	State	0.8	41.1	45.9	12.3	0.7	25.3	66.4	7.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	School								
	District	0.0	10.0	40.0	50.0	2.4	7.3	51.2	39.0
	State	0.2	12.2	43.7	43.9	0.2	5.4	53.8	40.7
Native American	School								
	District								
	State	0.0	26.9	47.4	25.6	0.0	19.1	68.1	12.8
Multiracial/Ethnic	School								
	District	0.0	19.4	38.7	41.9	0.0	13.3	46.7	40.0
	State	0.7	23.1	47.6	28.5	0.5	17.7	64.8	17.0

Grade 5 - Economically Disadvantaged

Levels		Reading				Mathematics			
		1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Free/Reduced Price Lunch	School	0.0	50.0	20.0	30.0	0.0	20.0	70.0	10.0
	District	0.0	32.8	47.5	19.7	0.5	17.9	70.7	10.9
	State	0.9	41.1	45.6	12.4	1.0	29.0	63.1	6.9
Not Eligible	School	0.0	0.0	24.0	76.0	0.0	2.0	44.0	54.0
	District	0.0	5.2	38.1	56.7	0.0	2.4	52.3	45.3
	State	0.2	13.9	46.8	39.1	0.2	9.3	65.1	25.4

2008 ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) Status Report

Is this school making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?	Yes	Has this school been identified for School Improvement according to the AYP specifications of the federal No Child Left Behind Act?	No
Is this school making AYP in Reading?	Yes	2008-09 Federal Improvement Status	
Is this school making AYP in Mathematics?	Yes	2008-09 State Improvement Status	

	Percent Tested on State Tests				Percent Meeting/Exceeding Standards *						Other Indicators			
	Reading		Mathematics		Reading			Mathematics			Attendance Rate		Graduation Rate	
	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP
State AYP Minimum Target	95.0		95.0		62.5			62.5			90.0		75.0	
All	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	89.9		Yes	97.8		Yes	96.3	Yes		
White	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	97.7		Yes	99.2		Yes				
Black														
Hispanic														
Asian/Pacific Islander														
Native American														
Multiracial /Ethnic														
LEP														
Students with Disabilities														
Economically Disadvantaged														

Four Conditions Are Required For Making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP):

1. At least 95% tested in reading and mathematics for every student group. If the current year participation rate is less than 95%, this condition may be met if the average of the current and preceding year rates is at least 95%, or if the average of the current and two preceding years is at least 95%. Only actual participation rates are printed. If the participation rate printed is less than 95% and yet this school makes AYP, it means that the 95% condition was met by averaging.
2. At least 62.5% meeting/exceeding standards in reading and mathematics for every group. For any group with less than 62.5% meeting/exceeding standards, a 95% confidence interval was applied. Subgroups may meet this condition through Safe Harbor provisions. ***
3. For schools not making AYP solely because the IEP group fails to have 62.5% meeting/exceeding standards, 14% may be added to this variable in accordance with the federal 2% flexibility provision.
4. At least 90% attendance rate for non-high schools and at least 75% graduation rate for high schools.

* Includes only students enrolled as of 05/01/2007.

** Safe Harbor Targets of 62.5% or above are not printed.

*** Subgroups with fewer than 45 students are not reported. Safe Harbor only applies to subgroups of 45 or more. In order for Safe Harbor to apply, a subgroup must decrease by 10% the percentage of scores that did not meet state standards from the previous year plus meet the other indicators (attendance rate for non-high schools and graduation rate for high schools) for the subgroup. For subgroups that do not meet their Safe Harbor Targets, a 75% confidence interval is applied. Safe Harbor allows schools an alternate method to meet subgroup minimum targets on achievement.



Madison Elementary School

Mr. Gene Sikorski, Principal
gsikorsk@cUSD200.org

School Improvement Plan 2008-2009

Target Area for Student Improvement

During the 07-08 school year, Madison Elementary School made Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) with 90% of students in grades 3, 4 and 5 meeting or exceeding standards in reading and 98% of students in grades 3, 4, and 5 meeting or exceeding standards in mathematics on the Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT). All sub-groups successfully met or exceeded state standards. After carefully examining test data, Madison's School Improvement Team decided to focus on vocabulary development in reading. We are anticipating a 5% improvement in reading scores for vocabulary development for all tested student groups on the ISAT. All groups will meet the minimum testing standard of 62.5% proficiency.

Rationale for Target Selection

Madison's School Improvement Team consists of the principal, the curriculum leader, a representative from every grade level and four specialists. In order to determine this current year's school improvement goal, the team carefully examined test data with District 200's director of school improvement to identify a school-wide opportunity for improvement. The team examined current ISAT report card data along with local assessment data. Local assessment questions that had been stabilized with a significant number of students missing the problem were examined to see if any correlations could be established. It was observed that many of the questions missed were based on non-fiction texts and many contained district Tool Kit Words in the questions. This fact, combined with the school-wide ISAT vocabulary development score of 80%, support the current reading goal: students will demonstrate comprehension and working knowledge of District Tool Kit Words through direct instruction, activities, and will be monitored through quantifiable assessments developed at each grade level. The new SIP goal was then presented to the entire Madison staff during the September Inservice day, along with the rationale behind it. The entire Madison staff worked together to develop specific pacing guides for each grade as well as assessments for each grade level to measure progress. This truly was a team effort as specialists and classroom teachers worked side by side as well as grade level teams working with grade levels above and below them.

Strategies for Improvement

Specialists will collaborate with classroom teachers to support vocabulary instruction by following classroom pacing as well as assisting with grade level assessments. All teachers will follow Marzano's six step vocabulary instruction process. All students in grades 1 through 5 will maintain a vocabulary journal. All students will participate in weekly vocabulary games and activities that promote recall and comprehension of the vocabulary words.

Professional Development

Teachers will receive release time to meet with the principal, curriculum leader and reading specialist to review progress and adapt goals based on assessment scores. The release time will also allow teachers to collaboratively share ideas and best practice. The four in-service days will be devoted to the development and monitoring of the SIP goals, vocabulary interventions for students, brainstorming new ideas, sharing successful interventions, and sharing requests and concerns. All grade levels will participate in a half-day workshop with the reading specialist on effective vocabulary instruction, focusing on Marzano's six step process. At each staff meeting, teachers will share practical examples of successful vocabulary instruction.